

Agenda Date: 11-28-07 Agenda Item: 4A

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

Board of Public Utilities Two Gateway Center Newark, NJ 07102 www.nj.gov/bpu/

Г	Ε	L	Ε	C	О	٨	1	٨	11	U	١	11	С	Α	Г	٦	O	١	IS	

)

IN THE MATTER OF THE BOARD INVESTIGATION)
REGARDING THE RECLASSIFICATION OF INCUMBENT)
LOCAL EXCHANGE CARRIER (ILEC) SERVICES AS
COMPETITIVE)

ORDER

DOCKET NO. TX07110873

(SERVICE LIST ATTACHED)

BY THE BOARD:

By letter dated November 14, 2007, Verizon New Jersey ("VNJ") has requested that the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities ("Board") initiate a review of the current state of competition in the telecommunications market in New Jersey as to mass market retail services provided by incumbent local exchange carriers ("ILECs") (the "VNJ Letter"). The Legislature has authorized the Board to address changes in technology and the structure of the telecommunications industry, and to modify the regulation of competitive services to promote economic development in New Jersey. Telecommunications Act of 1992, N.J.S.A. 48:2-21.16 et.seg. As part of its continuing review and oversight of the industry as a whole, the Board has noted that the competitive environment in the telecommunications industry appears to be undergoing considerable change and modification.

By Order dated June 29, 2007, ¹ after notice and hearing, the Board reclassified competitive local exchange carrier (CLEC) retail services as competitive services under the criteria in N.J.S.A. 48:2:21-19(b). Under that section, the Board is authorized to determine whether a service is competitive based on, at a minimum, three criteria: (1) ease of market entry; (2) presence of competitors; and (3) the availability of like or substitute services in the relevant geographic area. The Board declined at that time to expand the proceeding to include a review of retail mass market services when provided by the ILECs, finding that limiting its review to CLEC provided services was necessary to avoid entangling the issues involved in declaring

¹ In the Matter of the Board Investigation Regarding the Reclassification of Competitive Local Exchange Carrier (CLEC) Services as Competitive, Docket No. TX06120841(June 29, 2007).

CLEC provided services as competitive with the additional analysis needed for the deregulation of ILEC provided services. ² The Board went on to state:

"Notwithstanding the above, the Board acknowledges that it has worked to ensure that New Jersey consumers benefit from the regulatory policies embodied in both the 1996 Act and the 1992 New Jersey Telecommunications Act aimed at opening all local exchange markets to competition. Although the Board does not find Verizon's argument persuasive that we are required to include ILEC services in today's decision, the Board notes that the classification of ILEC services merits further review, in a separate proceeding, to ensure that the issues are properly vetted. The Board, on its own motion, may consider the initiation of such a review, at a future date."

The VNJ Letter maintains that now is the appropriate time for the Board to initiate its contemplated review of the competitiveness of ILEC retail mass market services. The communications industry has undergone a fundamental transformation and is currently providing customers with a broad selection of communications options. VNJ goes on to state that alternative service platforms are enabling a variety of service providers - many of which are not local exchange carriers - to offer a full assortment of communications services. According to VNJ, the evolution of these platforms has led to the convergence of what had once been disparate services, and has opened up the communication services market to multiple nontraditional competitors, which have been embraced by customers in New Jersey and nationally. VNJ suggests that, due to the convergence of communications technologies, the services offered by ILECs now compete directly with the services offered by CLECs and non-traditional competitors, such as wireless carriers, cable companies and VoIP providers. Those nontraditional competitors are not subject to the same degree of regulation as the ILECs, who, in VNJ's words, are "required to waste resources and delay market responses while complying with unnecessary regulations, instead of providing the new and innovative services that customers want and deserve." VNJ Letter at 5.

Based upon the above, and in response to the request from VNJ, the Board deems it appropriate at this time, to conduct a full investigation of and hearing on the question of whether ILEC provided mass market retail services should be declared competitive pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:2:21-19 (b), after review and consideration of the necessary criteria. Specifically, the Board seeks to determine if ILEC mass market retail telecommunications services satisfy the necessary elements of ease of market entry, presence of other competitors, and availability of like or substitute services in the relevant geographic area.

In order to provide a full record and to allow for an inclusive and transparent process, the Board proposes to conduct this hearing with the input of any and all interested parties. ILEC providers in the State that seek such competitive status are invited to intervene in this matter. Additionally, the Board welcomes the input of Rate Counsel, and any other interested parties with the intent of providing insight into the process. Because of the possibility of significant participation, it is important for the Board to set a clear and fixed schedule. Accordingly, the Board HEREBY SETS the procedural schedule as described on Attachment A.

The Board retains the right to modify this schedule as necessary and appropriate in the interests of economy and justice. Furthermore, the Board <u>HEREBY DESIGNATES</u> Commissioner

2

² Rate Counsel and VNJ have separately appealed the Board's June 29, 2007 Order. See,In the Matter of the Board Investigation Regarding the Reclassification of Competitive Local Exchange Carrier (CLEC) Services as Competitive,. Appellate Division Docket No. A-6429-06T 2. Also see, I/M/O the Board Investigation Regarding the Reclassification of Competitive Local Exchange Carrier (CLEC) Services as Competitive, Appellate Division Docket No. A-6378-06T 2.

Frederick F. Butler as the presiding officer who is authorized to rule on all motions that arise during the proceeding and modify the schedule as necessary. Finally, the Board HEREBY <u>DIRECTS</u> Staff to provide a copy of this Order to registered telecommunications providers in the State, as well as to any other parties the Staff believes may have an interest in this matter, and to post this Order on the Board's website.

DATED: ///28/07

BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

BY:

PRESIDENT

FREDERICK F. BUTLER COMMISSIONER L

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

ATTEST:

SECRETARY

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the within document is a true copy of the original in the files of the Board of Public

Utilities

IN THE MATTER OF THE BOARD INVESTIGATION REGARDING THE RECLASSIFICATION OF INCUMBENT LOCAL EXCHANGE CARRIER (ILEC) SERVICES AS COMPETITIVE

DOCKET NO. TX07110873

John DeLuca
Board of Public Utilities
Two Gateway Center
Newark, NJ 07102
John.deluca@bpu.state.ni.us

Anthony Centrella, Director
Division of Telecommunications
Board of Public Utilities
Two Gateway Center
Newark, NJ 07102
Anthony.centrella@bpu.state.nj.us

Stefanie A. Brand, Esq. Division of Rate Counsel 31 Clinton Street, 11th Floor P.O. Box 46005 Newark, NJ 07102 sbrand@rpa.state.nj.us

Jose Rivera-Benitez, Esq. Division of Rate Counsel 31 Clinton Street, 11th Floor P.O. Box 46005 Newark, NJ 07101 irivera@rpa.state.nj.us

Collen A. Foley, Esq. Saul Ewing
One Riverfront Plaza
Newark, NJ 07102
cfoley@saul.com

Christopher J. White, Esq. Division of Rate Counsel 31 Clinton Street, 11th Floor P.O. Box 46005
Newark, NJ 07101
cwhite@rpa.state.nj.us

Zsuzanna E. Benedek, Esq. United Telephone Company of New Jersey, Inc. d/b/a Embarq 240 North Third St., Suite 201 Harrisburg, PA 17101 Sue.e.benedek@embarg.com Mark A. Keffer
Philip S. Shapiro
AT&T Communications
3033 Chain Bridge Road
Oakton, VA 22185
mkeffer@att.com
psshapiro@att.com

Garnett Goines
Jennifer A. Duane
State Reg. Affairs, Northeast
Region
Sprint Nextel Corp.
2001 Edmund Halley Drive, 2nd
Reston, VA 20191
Jennifer.a.duane@sprint.com
Garnett.goines@sprint.com

Rochelle Jones
Senior Vice President Regulatory
Time Warner Telecom, Inc.
14 Wall Street, 9th Floor
New York, NY 10005
Rochelle.jones@twtelecom.com

Ava Marie Madeam Verizon New Jersey, Inc. 540 Broad Street, 20th Floor Newark, NJ 07102 avamarie.p.madeam@verizon.com

Lawanda Gilbert, Esq.
Carol Artale, Esq.
Counsel's Office
New Jersey Board of Public Utilities
Two Gateway Center
Newark, NJ 07101
Lawanda.gilbert@bpu.state.nj.us
Carol.artale@bpu.state.nj.us

Patricia Campbell
Division of Telecommunications
New Jersey Board of Public Utilities
Two Gateway Center
Newark, NJ 07101
Patricia.campbell@bpu.state.nj.us

James F. Murphy
Division of Telecommunications
New Jersey Board of Public Utilities
Two Gateway Center
Newark, NJ 07101
James.murphy@bpu.state.nj.us

Harold Bond
Division of Telecommunications
New Jersey Board of Public Utilities
Two Gateway Center
Newark, NJ 07101
Harold.bond@bpu.state.nj.us

William Agee
New Jersey Board of Public Utilities
Two Gateway Center
Newark, NJ 07101
William.agee@bpu.state.nj.us

Susan M. Baldwin 17 Arlington Street Newburyport, MA 01950 smbaldwin@comcast.net

Ralph V. Lee, Esq.
Richard Chapkis, Esq.
Vice President & General Counsel
Verizon NJ
540 Broad Street, 20th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
Ralph.v.lee@verizon.com
Richard.chapkis@verizon.com

Nicholas Pascaretti
J. Stoeberl
Warwick Valley Telephone Company
47-49 Main Street
Warwick, NY 10990
j.stoeberl@wtc.com
n.pascaretti@wvtc.com

John F. Pinto NJ DataNet Telecom, LLC 900 Corporate Blvd. Newburgh, NY 12550 jpinto@datanet.net Murray E. Bevan William K. Mosca Courter, Kobert & Cohen 1001 Route 517 Hackettstown, NJ 07840 mbevan@ckclaw.com wmosca@ckclaw.com

Martin C. Rothfelder, Esq.
Bradford M. Stern, Esq.
Rothfelder Stern, L.L.C.
625 Central Avenue
Westfield, NJ 07090
mcrothfelder@rothfelderstern.com
bmstern@rothfelderstern.com

Brian FitzGerald
Noelle Kinsch
LeBoeuf, Lamb, Greene & MacRae, LLP
99 Washington Ave., Suite 2020
Albany, NY 12210-2820
nmkinsch@llgm.com
bfitzger@llgm.com

Barbara J. Brohl, Esq. Qwest Services Corporation 1801 California, Suite 1000 Denver, CO 80202 Barbara.brohl@qwest.com

Russell R. Gutshall
EMBARQ
240 North Third Avenue
Suite 201
Harrisburg, PA 17101
Russell.r.gutshall@embarq.com

Babette Tenzer, DAG
Division of Law
124 Halsey Street, 5th Floor
Newark, NJ 07101
babette.tenzer@dol.lps.state.nj.us

Christopher Savage, Esq.
K.C. Halm, Esq.
Davis Wright Tremaine LLP
1919 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Suite 2001
Washington, DC 20006
Chris.savage@dwt.com
kchalm@dwt.com

Cherie R. Kiser, Esq.
Ernest Cooper, Esq.
Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferns, Glovsky & Popeo, PC
701 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, DC 20004
ckiser@mintz.com

Paul Flanagan, Assistant Director Division of Rate Counsel 31 Clinton Street, P.O. Box 46005 Newark, NJ 07101 pflanagan@rpa.state.nj.us

Richard A. Hrip EMBARQ 240 North Third Ave., Suite 201 Harrisburg, PA 17101 Richard.a.hrip@embarq.com

Maria T. Novas-Ruiz, Esq. Division of Rate Counsel 31 Clinton Street, P.O. Box 46005 Newark, NJ 07101 mnovas-ruiz@rpa.state.nj.us

Charles C. Hunter
Executive Vice President &
General Counsel
Broadview Networks Holdings, Inc.
800 Westchester Avenue
Suite N-501
Rye Brook, NY 10573
chunter@broadviewnet.com

Arlene Pasko
Geoffrey Gersten
Deputy Attorney General
Division of Law-Board of Public Utilities
124 Halsey Street
PO Box 45029
Newark, NJ 07101-5029
arlene.pasco@dol.lps.state.nj.us
Geoffrey.gersten@dol.lps.state.nj.us

PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE DOCKET NO. TX07110873

Board Releases Procedural Schedule	November 28, 2007
Requests for Intervention Due	December 6, 2007
Initial Testimony of Parties Supporting Reclassification Due	December 14, 2007
Last Date for Discovery Requests on Initial Testimony of Parties Supporting Reclassification	December 21, 2007
Last Date for Responses to Discovery Requests on Initial Testimony of Parties Supporting Reclassification	January 4, 2008
Reply Testimony of Parties Opposing Reclassification	January 10, 2008
Last Date for Discovery Requests on Reply Testimony of Parties Opposing Reclassification	January 15, 2008
Last Date for Responses to Discovery Requests on Reply Testimony of Parties Opposing Reclassification	January 22, 2008
Rebuttal Testimony of Parties Supporting Reclassification	January 29, 2008
Last Date for Discovery Requests on Rebuttal Testimony of Parties Supporting	February 5, 2008
Reclassification	
Last Date for Responses to Discovery Requests on Rebuttal Testimony of Parties Supporting Reclassification	February 12, 2008
Evidentiary Hearings	February 25 & 26, 2008
Initial Briefs	March 7, 2008
Reply Briefs	March 18, 2008
Anticipated Board Action	April 8, 2008